

Threat	Priority Landscapes	Priority	Reason for Prioritization	SubActivity (Conservation Action)	Barriers to implementation and/or Level of Scientific Uncertainty	Is expert elicitation needed? (Y/N)
Roaming Equids	Utah, California, Washington, Oregon, and Nevada. Sage-grouse management zones III, V, VI		regulations will address concern	Gather	<p>become increasingly difficult for BLM due to persistent legal actions from special interest groups and available funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wild Horse and Burro Act • Uncertainty regarding actual numbers and distribution of wild equids • Interaction of drought, grazing by domestic livestock, and wild ungulates with wild equids 	
Fences	Range-wide but localized	Tier 3	Fences can be deleterious to sage-grouse populations but fences can also improve habitat conditions for sage-grouse.	Modification Marking Removal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient information regarding location and impact of problem fences 	
Predators	Range-wide but localized	Tier 3	Although predation was not identified by the USFW as a significant range-wide threat in the 2010 warranted finding, predation may be significant	Predator Control Predator Attractant Removal Translocation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Predator management has been effective at local scales for short periods, but its efficacy over broad ranges or over long time spans has not been demonstrated 	

Comment [DP4]: We may want to break this into two columns – barriers and level of uncertainty – for clarity. While we are focusing on scientific uncertainty the addition of barrier information is very useful. But I think putting both into the same column is confusing.